G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 269/1897

Serial Nos. 33-34

191 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

L. R. 415-05-96

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 33-34 Countermarked 8.P.S

Part B for April 1898

SUBJECT.

Claim of Mr. B. K. Venkata Varadu Iyengar for Inner ... Rights in Kaglipura village, Kaveripatnam Tq

---

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

7355
4357
APR 189

(1)

From M.K. Venkataramanaiengar
Proprietor of the Kaggalipura Estate,
(Dy. Comrs, Bangalore)

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Rev. and Gen. Depts.

Dated 11th April 98

Despatched

Received

General No. D.O.
No.

Enclosure No. Record

Requesting to order an investigation into his claim to minerals in his estate viz, Sunkamangyam Kaggalipura & Kanjamgutta Gulaka = = male in Kankanhalli Taluk.

References to be marked.

Submitted as directed

Public Office
Bangalore
22/4/98

Sd/-

Sd/- 11/5/98

The file of papers on the subject of the Chamundi's claim to minerals sent to the Collr Secy & H.C. with [illegible]

Do the names of these Villages appear in the lists of estates from the Inam Cutcherry & Dy Comrs Office extracted from those lists from D.O.C

22/4/98
11/5/98

13/4/98

Section A 76
File No 66 4
Serial No 37

Subject to Rec (re Eastern Dn.

Regard the Venkata
Varadayya's petition
there is nothing before
that the claim was
rejected within the
time prescribed by
law (section 38 of
the L.R. Code). The
question whether an
enquiry should now
therefore be ordered.
The general question
whether any compensation
should be given to
Inamdars who fail
to abide by the terms
of, & under the
consideration of
the Dewan.

19/4/98

19-4-98

I would reject the
present claim. Mr
Venkata Varadayya will
of course get the
benefit of the order
on the reference now pending
to the Dewan Government
to rule such cases as those
of Mr Venkata Varadayya

Sir,

Kindly cause the extract referred to by the Dep. to be made and sent here as ear as possible and oblige.

JJP.
14/4

Do you discuss with Mr. Nicholls

15/4/98

Sir,

An extract from the statement received with the Bangalore D. Commr's D.O. 1140 26th February 1898, is sent here with - The statement received from the Dram Superintendent with his D.O. 30 dated 30th September 1897, makes no mention of the Villages of Kaslipur and Gula Kamale referred to in the D.O. Venkatavadiyar's D.D. of 11th instant, addressed to Government

16/4/98.

Submitted with reference to the Secretary's query on the letter below -

JB
15/4

JB
18/4/98 Submitted to Secretary

It is not clear why Kayamgula Gulakamale is omitted in St. rec'd from the Dy Comr - The Inamdar's first application is not for the conferment thereof, is the Collector to be considered to have been in time? JP 19/4/98

Bangalore
April 11 1898.

(1)

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts.

Sir,

1. I have two villages in the Kankanhally Taluk of the Bangalore Dist viz Sarvanayan Kaggalipur & Kayampatta Gulakamalé.

2. When the present Land Revenue code was passed it was provided in section 38 that the holders

with any averment that I had advanced my claim in time. But the Inam Supt would not admit the claim in the absence of proof of it having been made in time. I urged that I could not be responsible if my application were mislaid, and added

of alienated villages should, within the time given therein, prefer their claims, if any, & the minerals accordingly I preferred my claim to the them by Coup.r shortly after the said code was passed. There the matter ended

3. When recently the Govt called for a statement of the claims advanced, the Off.r in charge of the Dist (viz Dist N. Narasimmiyar) asked me if I had preferred my claim & if so when. I replied that I had long ago done so, but did not remember the date. Thereon that Off.r forwarded my claim & the Suppt of Inam settlements, being satisfied

Recd. 11/4/98

Registered
Vol. 4
11/4/98

R

that, as per said section,
the reception of the
claim was with the
Dy Journ & when
the Dy Journ for the
time being received it
& sent it to the Exam
Supt he was bound
to investigate it. He
however thought that
he could not do any
thing till proof was
given of the claim
having been prefered

in time.

4. I therefore now appeal to Govt. If my application was mislaid in the Dist Office I can not, I think, be held responsible for it. In these circumstances I humbly pray the Govt. by the Govt. to order an investigation into my claim.

5. The Dy. Commrs

Nos Dist- Dio 1410 of the 4th April 1898 communicating to me the orders of the Govt. is herewith submitted together with its enclosures for reference & obliging return. -

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servt
V. Venkatasaraiyar
Proprietor of the
Kaffaliyur Estate

Read:—

Letter dated 11th April 1898 from Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradiengar, Proprietor of the Kaggalipur Estate, appealing against the order of the Inam Supdt. declining to entertain his claim to minerals in his villages viz, Sarvamanyam Kaggalipur and Kayemuttha Gulakamale in the Kankanhalli Taluk, and stating that he advanced his claim within the time prescribed in Sec 37 of the L. R. Code & ~~that~~ before it was in no way to blame ~~that~~ his application has been mislaid in the Distrs office and that consequently an enquiry into his claim for mineral rights in his villages, may be ordered.

Order thereon:—

Government observe that beyond Mr Venkatavaradiengar's assertion there is nothing to show that the claim in question was preferred within the

~~and therefore reject the present claim.~~ [Mr. Venkatavaradiengar will however be entitled to the benefit of the order if, in regard to the general question now pending before Govt. Kig. whether any concession should be shown to Inamdars who failed to apply in proper time, it should be ruled that such cases as ~~the~~ present one, ~~may~~ might be reconsidered.]

Submitted.
Mr. Venkatavaradiengar is present. The claim should have to be considered on its merits. Please see the note on the other side.

22/4/98 Secretary

To. Mr. B. K. Venkatavaradiengar for
Proprietor of the
Kaggalipur Estate.
(D.y. Collr. Bangalore Dt.)
for returning enclosures.

Supdt. Inam Settlements.
Dr. Inams Bangalore District.